arXiv:1510.06251v1 [math.CA] 7 Oct 2015

Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands measured by ordinary "Lebesgue measures" in R^∞

Gogi Pantsulaia* **and Givi Giorgadze**

I.Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics, Tbilisi - 0143, Georgian Republic
e-mail: g.pantsulaia@gtu.ge
Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi - 0175, Georgian Republic
e-mail: g.giorgadze@gtu.ge

Abstract: In the present paper we consider the following Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands (SPCD): *The supplier must supply the measurable system of the measure m_k to the k-th consumer at the moment t_k for $1 \leq k \leq n$. The measure of the supplied measurable system is changed under action of some dynamical system; What minimal measure of measurable system must take the supplier at the initial moment $t = 0$ to satisfy demands of all consumers ?* In this paper we consider Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands measured by ordinary "Lebesgue measures" in R^∞ for various dynamical systems in R^∞. In order to solve this problem we use Liouville type theorems for them which describes the dependence between initial and resulting measures of the entire system.

Primary 37-xx, 28Axx; Secondary 28C10 28D10.
Keywords and phrases: Foerster-Lasota equation, Black - Scholes equation, ordinary "Lebesgue measure".

1. Formulation of the main problem

In a wide class of general systems the so-called dynamical systems can be distinguished which are used to describe the behaviour of various physical, economic and social processes (see, for example [4]).

Let (E, ρ) be a metric space. Recall, that a family of mappings $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ with $\Phi_t : E \to E$ for $t \in R$ is called a dynamical system if it satisfies the following three conditions:

1) $\Phi_0(x) = x$ for each element $x \in E$;

2) A mapping $\Phi : E \times R \to E$ defined by $\Phi(x, t) = \Phi_t(x)$ is continuous with respect to the variables x and t;

3) if $x \in E$, $t_1 \in R$ and $t_2 \in R$, then $\Phi_{t_1}(\Phi_{t_2}(x)) = \Phi_{t_1+t_2}(x)$.

Let $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ be some dynamical system defined in a metric space (E, ρ) and let ν be a Borel measure on E.

In the sequel we will use the following terminology and agreement:

*The first author was partially supported on the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation (Grant no. 31–25).

- Supplier is a person which choose any Borel subset $S_0 \subseteq E$ of the measure $\nu(S_0)$ called an initial system;
- S_t is obtained from the initial system S_0 as follows : $S_t = \Phi_t(S_0)$;
- For $1 \leq k \leq n$, k-th consumer is a person which at the moment t_k choose randomly a Borel subset $C_k \subseteq S_{t_k}$ of the measure m_k (called k-th demand) that is $\nu(C_k) = m_k$.
- It is assumed that $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$.

Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands (SPCD): *Assume that the supplier must supply the measurable system of the measure m_k to the k-th consumer at the moment t_k for $1 \leq k \leq n$. The measure of the supplied measurable system is changed under action of the dynamical system Φ_t ; What minimal measure of any measurable system must take the supplier at the initial moment $t = 0$ to satisfy demands of all consumers ?*

Let take under (E, ρ) an infinite-dimensional topological vector space R^∞ equipped with Tychonov metric and under ν any ordinary "Lebesgue measures" in R^∞ (cf. [7]).

The purpose of the present paper is consider **SPCD** when under dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ in R^∞ is considered one from the following list of mathematical models:

- dynamical system in R^∞ defined by von Foerster-Lasota differential equation in $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ (cf. [12]) ;
- dynamical system in R^∞ defined by the Black-Scholes equation (cf. [15]);
- dynamical system defined by infinite generalised Maltusian growth equation in R^∞ (cf. [6]);
- dynamical system in R^∞ defined by Fourier differential equation (cf. [15]).

The rest of the paper is the following.

In Section 2 we give constructions of ordinary "Lebesgue measures" in R^∞ . In the next sections we discuss the Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands for above mentioned mathematical models.

2. Auxiliary notions and propositions from measure theory and linear algebra

Let $(\beta_j)_{j\in N} \in [0, +\infty]^N$.

Definition 2.1 We say that a number $\beta \in [0, +\infty]$ is an ordinary product of numbers $(\beta_j)_{j\in N}$ if

$$\beta = \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i.$$

An ordinary product of numbers $(\beta_j)_{j\in N}$ is denoted by $(\mathbf{O})\prod_{i\in N} \beta_i$.

Let $\alpha=(n_k)_{k\in N}\in(N\setminus\{0\})^N$. We set

$$F_0=[0,n_0]\cap N,\ F_1=[n_0+1,n_0+n_1]\cap N,\ \ldots,$$

$$F_k=[n_0+\cdots+n_{k-1}+1,n_0+\cdots+n_k]\cap N,\ldots.$$

Definition 2.2 We say that a number $\beta\in[0,+\infty]$ is an ordinary α-product of numbers $(\beta_i)_{i\in N}$ if β is an ordinary product of numbers $(\prod_{i\in F_k}\beta_i)_{k\in N}$. An ordinary α-product of numbers $(\beta_i)_{i\in N}$ is denoted by $(\mathbf{O},\alpha)\prod_{i\in N}\beta_i$.

Definition 2.3 Let $\alpha=(n_k)_{k\in N}\in(N\setminus\{0\})^N$. Let $(\alpha)\mathcal{OR}$ be the class of all infinite-dimensional measurable α-rectangles $R=\prod_{i\in N}R_i(R_i\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^{n_i}))$ for which an ordinary product of numbers $(m^{n_i}(R_i))_{i\in N}$ exists and is finite.

Definition 2.4 We say that a measure λ being the completion of a translation-invariant Borel measure is an ordinary α-Lebesgue measure on R^∞(or, shortly, O(α)LM) if for every $R\in(\alpha)\mathcal{OR}$ we have

$$\lambda(R)=(\mathbf{O})\prod_{k\in N}m^{n_k}(R_k).$$

Lemma 2.1 ([6], Theorem 1, p. 216) *For every $\alpha=(n_i)_{i\in N}\in(N\setminus\{0\})^N$, there exists a Borel measure μ_α on $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ which is $O(\alpha)LM$.*

Lemma 2.2 ([8], Theorem 3, p. 9.) *Let $\alpha=(n_i)_{i\in N}\in(N\setminus\{0\})^N$, and let $T^{n_i}:\mathbf{R}^{n_i}\to\mathbf{R}^{n_i}, i>1$, be a family of linear transformation with Jacobians $\Delta_i\neq0$ and $0<\prod_{i=1}^\infty\Delta_i<\infty$. Let $T^N:R^N\to R^N$ be the map defined by*

$$T^N(x)=(T^{n_1}(x_1,\cdots,x_{n_1}),T^{n_2}(x_{n_1+1},\cdots,x_{n_1+n_2}),\cdots),$$

where $x=(x_i)_{i\in N}\in R^N$. Then for each $E\in\mathcal{B}(R^N)$, we have

$$\mu_\alpha(T^N(E))=(\prod_{i=1}^\infty\Delta_i)\mu_\alpha(E).$$

In context with another interesting properties of partial analogs of the Lebesgue measures in $\mathbf{R}^\infty$, the reader can consult with [1], [2], [5], [7], [9],[6].

In the sequel we identify the vector space R^∞ of all real-valued sequences with the vector space of all real-valued infinite-dimensional vector-columns.

The need the following auxiliary proposition from linear algebra.

Lemma 2.3 ([3], §6, Section 1) *Let* $\alpha = (n_i)_{i\in N} \in (N \setminus \{0\})^N$ *and, let* $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in N}$ *be an infinite-dimensional real-valued* α*-cellular matrix. Let us consider a linear autonomous differential equation of the first order*

$$\frac{d}{dt}((a_k)_{k\in N}) = A \times (a_k)_{k\in N} \tag{2.1}$$

with an initial condition

$$(a_k(0))_{k\in N} = (c_k)_{k\in N} \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the solution of (2.1) − (2.2) *is given by*

$$(a_k(t))_{k\in N} = \exp(tA) \times (c_k)_{k\in N}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Let us present the column $(a_k(t))_{k\in N}$ in the Maclaurin series as follows:

$$(a_k(t))_{k\in N} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_k^{(m)}(0))_{k\in N}}{m!} t^m. \tag{2.4}$$

Take into account the validity of the formula

$$(a_k^{(m)}(0))_{k\in N} = (\frac{d^m a_k(t)}{dt^m}|_{t=0})_{k\in N} = A^m \times (a_k(0))_{k\in N}, \quad (2.5)$$

we get

$$(a_k(t))_{k\in N} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_k^{(m)}(0)t^m)_{k\in N}}{m!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^m}{m!} \times (a_k(0))_{k\in N} = \exp(tA) \times (c_k)_{k\in N} \tag{2.6}$$

□

In the sequel we will need some notions characterizing the behavior of some dynamical systems $(\Phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$.

Let ν be any " Lebesgue measure" in $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ (see, for example, [5], [7]).

Definition 2.5 We say that the dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is stable in the sense of a " Lebesgue measure" ν if it preserves the measure ν, i.e.

$$(\forall t)(\forall D)(0 < t < \infty \ \& \ 0 < \nu(D) < \infty \to \nu(\Phi_t(D)) = \nu(D)). \tag{2.7}$$

Definition 2.6 We say that the dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is expansible in the sense of a "Lebesgue measure" ν if

$$(\forall t_1)(\forall t_2)(\forall D)(0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty \ \& \ 0 < \nu(D) < \infty \to \nu(\Phi_{t_1}(D)) < \nu(\Phi_{t_2}(D))). \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.7 We say that the dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is pressing in the sense of a "Lebesgue measure" ν if

$$(\forall t_1)(\forall t_2)(\forall D)(0<t_1<t_2<\infty \,\&\, 0<\nu(D)<\infty \rightarrow \nu(\Phi_{t_1}(D))>\nu(\Phi_{t_2}(D))). \tag{2.9}$$

Definition 2.8 We say that the dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is totally expansible in the sense of a "Lebesgue measure" ν if

$$(\forall t)(\forall D)(0<t<\infty \,\&\, 0<\nu(D)<\infty \rightarrow \nu(\Phi_t(D))=+\infty). \tag{2.10}$$

Definition 2.9 We say that the dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is totally pressing in the sense of a "Lebesgue measure" ν if

$$(\forall t)(\forall D)(0<t<\infty \,\&\, 0<\nu(D)<\infty \rightarrow \nu(\Phi_t(D))=0). \tag{2.11}$$

3. Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in von Foerster-Lasota model in $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$

In this section we consider a certain concept [13] for a solution of some differential equations by " Maclaurin Differential Operators" in $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$.

Definition 3.1 " Maclaurin differential operator" $(\mathcal{M})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ is defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{M})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}\right)=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}\times\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

Definition 3.2 " Maclaurin differential operator" $(\mathcal{M})x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$ is defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{M})x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}((a_k)_{k\in N})=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}\times\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.3 " Maclaurin differential operator" $(\mathcal{M})x^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ in $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ is defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{M})x^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}((a_k)_{k\in N})=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0&\dots\\0&0&0&0&\ddots\\0&0&1\times 2&0&\ddots\\0&0&0&2\times 3&\ddots\\\vdots&\vdots&\vdots&\ddots&\ddots\end{pmatrix}\times\begin{pmatrix}a_0\\a_1\\a_2\\\vdots\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.3}$$

Definition 3.4 Formally, we set that the factorial of each negative integer number is equal to $+\infty$. Then " Maclaurin differential operator" $(\mathcal{M})x^n\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n}$ in $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ is defined as follows:

$$(\mathcal{M})x^n\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n}((a_k)_{k\in N})=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{0!}{(0-n)!}&0&0&0&\dots\\0&\frac{1!}{(1-n)!}&0&0&\ddots\\0&0&\frac{2!}{(2-n)!}&0&\ddots\\0&0&0&\frac{3!}{(3-n)!}&\ddots\\\vdots&\vdots&\vdots&\ddots&\ddots\end{pmatrix}\times\begin{pmatrix}a_0\\a_1\\a_2\\\vdots\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.4}$$

Theorem 3.3 ([14],Theorem 11.2, p.139) *Let $(A_n)_{0\le n\le m}(m\in N)$ be a sequence of real numbers. Let consider a non-homogeneous " Maclaurin differential operators" equation of the first order*

$$\frac{d}{dt}((a_k)_{k\in N})=\sum_{n=0}^{m}A_n(\mathcal{M})x^n\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n}\times(a_k)_{k\in N}+(f_k(t))_{k\in N} \tag{3.5}$$

with initial condition

$$(a_k(0))_{k\in N}=(C_k)_{k\in N}, \tag{3.6}$$

where

(i) $(C_k)_{k\in N}\in\mathbf{R}^\infty$;

(ii) $(f_k(t))_{k\in N}$ is the sequence of continuous functions on $\mathbf{R}$.

Then

$$(a_k(t))_{k\in N}=\Big(e^{t\sum_{n=0}^{m}A_n\frac{k!}{(k-n)!}}C_k+\int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\sum_{n=0}^{m}A_n\frac{k!}{(k-n)!}}f_k(\tau)d\tau\Big)_{k\in N}. \tag{3.7}$$

We have the following consequence of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.1 *Let consider the von Foerster-Lasota operator equation in $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ defined by*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}((a_k)_{k\in N})=-(\mathcal{M})\big(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\big)((a_k)_{k\in N})+\gamma(a_k)_{k\in N} \tag{3.8}$$

with initial condition

$$(a_k(0))_{k\in N}=(C_k)_{k\in N}\in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}. \tag{3.9}$$

Then

$$(a_k(t))_{k\in N}=\big(e^{t(\gamma-k)}C_k\big)_{k\in N}. \tag{3.10}$$

Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in von Foerster-Lasota model.

Let consider $(1,1,\cdots)$-ordinary "Lebesgue measure" $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$. By Lemma 2.2 we know that $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(\Phi_t(X))=e^{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}t(\gamma-k)}\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(X)$, where the von Foerster-Lasota motion $\Phi_t: R^{\infty}\to R^{\infty}$ is defined by

$$\Phi_t\big((C_k)_{k\in N}\big)=\big(e^{t(\gamma-k)}C_k\big)_{k\in N} \tag{3.11}$$

for $(C_k)_{k\in N}\in R^{\infty}$.

Since $e^{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}t(\gamma-k)}=0$, we claim for an arbitrary initial system $S_0\in\mathcal{B}(R^{\infty})$ and $t>0$, the set $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(\Phi_t(S_0))=0$. Hence the first consumer can not choose a Borel subset $C_1\subseteq S_{t_1}=\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)$ for which $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(C_1)=m_1>0$. The latter relation means that Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in von Foerster-Lasota model has no any solution.

4. Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in Black - Scholes Model in R^{∞}

The Black-Scholes differential equation in R^{∞} has the following form :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(a_k)_{k\in N}=-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(\mathcal{M})\big(x^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}\big)((a_k)_{k\in N})-r(\mathcal{M})\big(x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\big)((a_k)_{k\in N})+r(a_k)_{k\in N} \tag{4.1}$$

Notice that (4.1) is a particular case of (3.5) for which $m=2$, $A_0=r$, $A_1=-r$, $A_2=-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$. Following Theorem 3.3, the solution of (4.1) has the form

$$(a_k(t))_{k\in N}=\big(e^{t(r\frac{k!}{(k-0)!}-r\frac{k!}{(k-1)!}-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\frac{k!}{(k-2)!})}C_k\big)_{k\in N} \tag{4.2}$$

Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in Black-Scholes model.

Let consider $(1,1,\cdots)$-ordinary "Lebesgue measure" $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$. By Lemma 2.2 we know that $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(\Phi_t(X))=e^{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}t(\gamma-k)}\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(X)$, where the von Foerster-Lasota motion $\Phi_t: R^{\infty}\to R^{\infty}$ is defined by

$$\Phi_t\big((C_k)_{k\in N}\big)=\big(e^{t(r\frac{k!}{(k-0)!}-r\frac{k!}{(k-1)!}-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\frac{k!}{(k-2)!})}C_k\big)_{k\in N} \tag{4.3}$$

for $(C_k)_{k\in N}\in R^{\infty}$.

Since $\sum_{k\in N} r\frac{k!}{(k-0)!} - r\frac{k!}{(k-1)!} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\frac{k!}{(k-2)!} = -\infty$, we claim for an arbitrary initial system $S_0 \in \mathcal{B}(R^\infty)$ and $t > 0$, the set $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(\Phi_t(S_0)) = 0$. Hence first consumer can not choose a Borel subset $C_1 \subseteq S_{t_1} = \Phi_{t_1}(S_0)$ for which $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(C_1) = m_1 > 0$. The latter relation means that Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in Black-Scholes model has no any solution.

5. Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in infinite continuous generalised Malthusian growth model in R^∞

Let us consider an infinite non-antagonistic family of populations and let $\Psi_k(t)$ be the population function of the k-th Population. Then the generalised continuous Malthusian growth model for an infinite family of non-antagonistic populations is described by the following linear differential equation

$$\frac{d((a_k(t))_{k\in N}}{dt} = A \times (a_k(t))_{k\in N} \tag{5.1}$$

with an initial condition

$$(a_k(0))_{k\in N} = (a_k)_{k\in N} \in R^\infty, \tag{5.2}$$

where A is an infinite-dimensional real-valued diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $(\lambda_k)_{k\in N}$.

By Lemma 2.3 we know that the solution of (5.1) is given by

$$(a_k(t))_{k\in N} = (e^{t\lambda_k}a_k)_{k\in N}. \tag{5.3}$$

Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in infinite continuous generalised Malthusian growth model in R^∞ .

Let consider $(1,1,\cdots)$-ordinary "Lebesgue measure" $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$. By Lemma 2.2 we know that

$$\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(\Phi_t(X)) = e^{t\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda_k}\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(X), \tag{5.4}$$

where the infinite continuous generalised Malthusian growth motion $\Phi_t : R^\infty \to R^\infty$ is defined by

$$\Phi_t\big((a_k)_{k\in N}\big) = (e^{t\lambda_k}a_k)_{k\in N} \tag{5.5}$$

for $(a_k)_{k\in N} \in R^\infty$.

Case 1. $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda_k$ is divergent. In that case we do not know whether SPCD in infinite continuous generalised Malthusian growth model has any solution.

Case 2. $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda_k = -\infty$. In that case SPCD in infinite continuous generalised Malthusian growth model has no any solution.

Case 3. $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda_k = +\infty$. In that case SPCD in infinite continuous generalised Malthusian growth model also has no any solution because if the supplier take an arbitrary measurable system of the positive $(1,1,\cdots)$-ordinary

"Lebesgue measure" $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$, then demands of all consumers will be always satisfied.

Case 4. $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda_k$ is convergent and $-\infty<\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda_k<+\infty$.

Let show that the solution of SPCD in infinite continuous generalised Malthusian growth model is defined by

$$m=\sum_{k=1}^{n}m_k e^{-t_k\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k} \tag{5.6}$$

Indeed, let choose an arbitrary Borel subset $S_0\subset R^{\infty}$ with $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(S_0)=m$. At the moment $t=t_1$ the set S_0 is transformed into set $\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)$ whose $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$ measure is equal to

$$e^{t_1\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}m=e^{t_1\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}(\sum_{k=1}^{n}m_k e^{-t_k\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k})=$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}m_k e^{(t_1-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}=m_1+\sum_{k=2}^{n}m_k e^{(t_1-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k} \tag{5.7}$$

When the demand C_1 of the first consumer will be satisfied, we obtain the set $\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)\setminus C_1$ for which

$$\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)\setminus C_1)=\sum_{k=2}^{n}m_k e^{(t_1-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k} \tag{5.8}$$

At moment $t=t_2$ the set $\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)\setminus C_1$ is transformed into set $\Phi_{t_2-t_1}(\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)\setminus C_1)$ whose $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$ measure is equal to

$$e^{(t_2-t_1)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)\setminus C_1)=$$

$$e^{(t_2-t_1)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}\sum_{k=2}^{n}m_k e^{(t_1-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}=\sum_{k=2}^{n}m_k e^{(t_2-t_1)+(t_1-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}=$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n}m_k e^{(t_2-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}=m_2+\sum_{k=3}^{n}m_k e^{(t_2-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k} \tag{5.9}$$

When the demand C_2 of the second consumer will be satisfied, we obtain the set $\Phi_{t_2-t_1}(\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)\setminus C_1)\setminus C_2$ for which

$$\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}(\Phi_{t_2-t_1}(\Phi_{t_1}(S_0)\setminus C_1)\setminus C_2)=\sum_{k=3}^{n}m_k e^{(t_2-t_k)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k}, \tag{5.10}$$

and so on.

Now it obvious that at the moment $t=t_n$ we obtain a set whose $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$ measure exactly coincides with the positive number m_n and hence the demand of the n-th consumer will be satisfied.

Observation 5.1 We have showed that Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands in infinite continuous generalised Malthusian growth model in R^{∞} has the solution if dynamical system defined by (5.3) is pressing, expansible or stable in the sense of the measure $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$. When $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ is totally pressing or totally expansible the the same problem has no any solution.

6. Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands for dynamical system defined by the Fourier differential equation in R^{∞}

Definition 6.1. *"Fourier differential operator"* $(\mathcal{F})\frac{d}{dx} : R^{\infty} \to R^{\infty}$ *is defined as follows:*

$$(\mathcal{F})\frac{d}{dx}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_0}{2} \\ a_1 \\ b_1 \\ a_2 \\ b_2 \\ a_3 \\ b_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1\pi}{l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -\frac{1\pi}{l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2\pi}{l} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2\pi}{l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3\pi}{l} & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3\pi}{l} & 0 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_0}{2} \\ a_1 \\ b_1 \\ a_2 \\ b_2 \\ a_3 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

Suppose that $(A_n)_{n\in N} \in R^N$ be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$\sigma_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n A_{2n}(\frac{k\pi}{l})^{2n} \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\omega_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n A_{2n+1}(\frac{k\pi}{l})^{2n+1} \tag{6.3}$$

are convergent for each $k \geq 1$.

Corollary 6.1.(cf. [11]) Let us consider a partial differential equation of the first order

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}((a_k)_{k\in N}) = \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n\Big((\mathcal{F})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big)^n\Big) \times (a_k)_{k\in N} \tag{6.4}$$

with initial condition

$$(a_k(0))_{k\in N} = (C_k)_{k\in N}, \tag{6.5}$$

where $(C_k)_{k\in N} \in \mathbf{R}^{\infty}$;

Suppose that the sequence of real numbers $(\sigma_k)_{k\in N}$ and $(\omega_k)_{k\in N}$ defined by (6.2) – (6.3) are convergent.

Then the solution $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ of (6.4) – (6.5) is defined by

$$\Phi_t((C_k)_{k\in N}) = e^{t(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \left((\mathcal{F})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n)} \times (C_k)_{k\in N} \tag{6.6}$$

where $e^{t(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \left((\mathcal{F})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n)}$ denotes an exponent of the matrix $t(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \left((\mathcal{F})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^n)$ and it exactly coincides with an infinite-dimensional $(1,2,2,\dots)$ -cellular matrix $D(t)$ with cells $(D_k(t))_{k\in N}$ for which $D_0(t) = (e^{tA_0})$ and

$$D_k(t) = e^{\sigma_k t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\omega_k t) & \sin(\omega_k t) \\ -\sin(\omega_k t) & \cos(\omega_k t) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.7}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 6.1, one can easily establish the validity of the following assertions.

Observation 6.1 *Suppose that $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ is the dynamical system in R^∞ which comes from Corollary 6.1. Then $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ is :*

a) stable in the sense of an ordinary $(1,2,2,\dots)$-Lebesgue measure $\mu_{(1,2,2,\dots)}$ in R^∞ if and only if $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k = 0$.

b) extensible in the sense of an ordinary $(1,2,2,\dots)$-Lebesgue measure $\mu_{(1,2,2,\dots)}$ in R^∞ if and only if $0 < A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k < +\infty$.

c) pressing in the sense of an ordinary $(1,2,2,\dots)$-Lebesgue measure $\mu_{(1,2,2,\dots)}$ in R^∞ if and only if $-\infty < A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k < 0$.

d) stable in the sense of a standard $(1,2,2,\dots)$-Lebesgue measure $\nu_{(1,2,2,\dots)}$ in R^∞ if and only if $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k = 0$ and the series $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k$ is absolutely convergent.

e) extensible in the sense of a standard $(1,2,2,\dots)$-Lebesgue measure $\nu_{(1,2,2,\dots)}$ in R^∞ if and only if $0 < A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k < +\infty$ and the series $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k$ is absolutely convergent.

f) pressing in the sense of a standard $(1,2,2,\dots)$-Lebesgue measure $\nu_{(1,2,2,\dots)}$ in R^∞ if and only if $-\infty < A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k < 0$ and the series $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k$ is absolutely convergent.

g) totally extensible in the sense of a standard $(1,2,2,\dots)$-Lebesgue measure $\nu_{(1,2,2,\dots)}$ in R^∞ if and only if the series $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k$ is not absolutely convergent and $\sum_{k\in S_-}^{\infty}\sigma_k > -\infty$, where S_- denotes a set of all natural numbers for which $\sigma_k < 0$.

h) totally pressing in the sense of a standard $(1,2,2,\dots)$-Lebesgue measure $\nu_{(1,2,2,\dots)}$ in R^∞ if and only if the series $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sigma_k$ is not absolutely convergent and $\sum_{k\in S_-}^{\infty}\sigma_k = -\infty$, where S_- denotes a set of all natural numbers for which $\sigma_k < 0$.

Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands for dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ in R^∞ defined by (6.6)**.**

Let consider $(1,2,2,\cdots)$-ordinary "Lebesgue measure" $\mu_{(1,2,2,\cdots)}$. By Lemma 2.2 we know that

$$\mu_{(1,2,2,\cdots)}(\Phi_t(X)) = e^{t(A_0+2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sigma_k)}\mu_{(1,2,2,\cdots)}(X). \tag{6.8}$$

Case 1. $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sigma_k$ is divergent. In that case we do not know whether SPCD for dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ in R^{∞} defined by (6.6) has any solution.

Case 2. $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sigma_k = -\infty$. In that case SPCD for dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ in R^{∞} defined by (6.6) has no any solution.

Case 3. $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sigma_k = +\infty$. In that case SPCD for dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ in R^{∞} defined by (6.6) has no any solution because if the supplier take an arbitrary measurable system of the positive $\mu_{(1,1,\cdots)}$ measure, then demands of all consumers will be always satisfied.

Case 4. $A_0 + 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sigma_k$ is convergent and $-\infty < A_0 + 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sigma_k < +\infty$.

By the scheme used in Case 4 of the Section 5, one can easily show that the solution of SPCD for dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ in R^{∞} defined by (6.6) is given by

$$m = \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k e^{-t_k(A_0+2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sigma_k)} \tag{6.9}.$$

Observation 6.2 We have showed that Satisfaction Problem of Consumers Demands for dynamical system $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ in R^{∞} defined by (6.6) has the solution if $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ is pressing, expansible or stable in the sense of the measure $\mu_{(1,2,2,\cdots)}$. When $(\Phi_t)_{t\in R}$ is totally pressing or totally expansible the the same problem has no any solution.

References

[1] Baker R.,(1991) "Lebesgue measure" on $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **113(4)**, 1023–1029.

[2] Baker R.,(2004), "Lebesgue measure" on $\mathbf{R}^{\infty}$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **132(9)** 2577–2591.

[3] Gantmacher F. R., (1966) *Theorie des matrices.* Tome 1: Theorie generale. (French) Traduit du Russe par Ch. Sarthou. Collection Universitaire de Mathematiques, No. 18 Dunod, Paris xiii+370.

[4] Nemicki N., Stepanov N.,(1949) *The qualitative theory of dynamical systems,* Moscow-Leningrad, (in Russian).

[5] Pantsulaia G.R.,(2004) Relations between shy sets and sets of ν_p-measure zero in Solovay's Model, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci.*, **52(1)** 63–69.

[6] Pantsulaia G. R., (2007)*Invariant and quasiinvariant measures in infinite-dimensional topological vector spaces.* Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York.

[7] Pantsulaia G. R., (2009) On ordinary and standard Lebesgue measures on R^{∞}, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci.,* **73(3)** 209–222.

[8] Pantsulaia G. R.,(2009) Change of variable formula for "Lebesgue measures" on R^N, *J. Math. Sci. Adv. Appl.,* **2(1)** 1–12.

[9] Pantsulaia G.R., (2010) On a standard product of an arbitrary family of σ-finite Borel measures with domain in Polish spaces, *Theory Stoch. Process,* **16(32)** 84–93.

[10] Pantsulaia G., Giorgadze G.,(2011) On some applications of infinite-dimensional cellular matrices, *Georg. Inter. J. Sci. Tech.,* **3(1)** 107–129.

[11] Pantsulaia G., (2012)*Selected topics of an infinite-dimensional classical analysis.* Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, xii–185.

[12] Pantsulaia G., Giorgadze G.,(2012) Description of the behaviour of phase motions defined by generalized von Foersten-Lasota equations in R^{∞} in terms of ordinary and standard "Lebesgue measures"(Dedicated to the memory of Professor Andrzej Lasota), *Georg. Inter. J. Sci. Tech.,* **4(1/2)** 47–62

[13] Pantsulaia G., Gill T., Giorgadze G., (2013) On Dynamical Systems Defined by Partial Differential Equations of Infinite Order with Real Constant Coefficients, *Georg. Inter. J. Sci. Tech.,* **4(3)**, 53–94.

[14] Pantsulaia G., (2013)*Selected topics of invariant measures in Polish groups,.* Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, xi -213p.

[15] Pantsulaia G., Giorgadze G., (2014) A description of the behavior of some phase motions in terms of ordinary and standard "Lebesgue measures" in R^{∞}. *Georgian Int. J. Sci. Technol.,* **6(4)** 285–329.